IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO.507 OF 1999

Shri Mahadeo G. Bansode ... Appellant
Versus
Shri Bhau Krishna Bansode
& Ors. ... Respondents

Milind Deshmumkh for Appellant

CORAM : ***S.R. SATHE,J.***
DATED : ***21/12/2006***

P.C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Appellant. Suit for partition and separate possession filed by Plaintiff was partly decreed by the Trial Court. Being aggrieved, Plaintiff filed Regular Civil Appeal No. 35/1993. However, the same came to be dismissed.

2. The dispute is only now in respect of the property described in paragraph 1A of the plaint. Both the Courts below have given concurrent finding that Plaintiff has failed to prove that the said property is joint family property of Plaintiff and defendants. There is nothing on record to show that the findings recorded by the Courts below are perverse or that the lower courts have not taken into consideration

particular evidence.

3. No error of law is pointed out by the learned Advocate for the Appellant. No substantial question of law is involved in the appeal.

4. Hence appeal is dismissed in the limine.

(S.R. SATHE,J.)